Education and **Democracy**

Re-imagining Liberal Learning in America

Robert Orrill, Executive Editor

Contents

Authors v	ii
Editor's Prologue xi Robert Orrill, The College Board	ii
Re-imagining Liberal Education	1
From Discipline-Based to Problem-Centered Learning 2 Ellen Condliffe Lagemann, New York University	1
Naming Pragmatic Liberal Education 4 Bruce A. Kimball, University of Rochester	5
Cosmopolitan Pragmatism: Deliberative Democracy and Higher Education 6 James T. Kloppenberg, Brandeis University	9
Pragmatism, Idealism, and the Aims of Liberal Education 11 Charles W. Anderson, University of Wisconsin–Madison	1
Innovation in the Liberal Arts and Sciences	1
Professing the Liberal Arts	51
The American Tradition of Aspirational Democracy 17 Elizabeth Kamarck Minnich, Union Institute	5
Liberal Education and Democracy: The Case for Pragmatism)7
Dewey versus Hutchins: The Next Round	.5
The Stratification of Cultures as the Barrier to Democratic Pluralism	3

vi Contents

Biology, Pragmatism, and Liberal Education	287
Liberal Education in Cyberia	299
Placing Liberal Education in the Service of Democracy Nicholas H. Farnham, The Christian A. Johnson Endeavor Foundation	321
Education for a World Lived in Common with Others Lee Knefelkamp, Teachers College, Columbia University, and Carol Schneider, Association of American Colleges and Universities	327
Afterword. Anchoring the Future in the Past: 1931–1997 Rita Bornstein, Rollins College	345

Editor's Prologue

ROBERT ORRILL

Executive Director, Office of Academic Affairs, The College Board

Unless education has some frame of reference it is bound to be aimless, lacking a unified objective. The necessity for a frame of reference must be admitted. There exists in this country such a unified frame. It is called democracy.

John Dewey, 1937

America must be looked upon as either an offshoot of Europe, culturally speaking, or as a New World in other than a geographical sense. To take the latter view is neither brash patriotic nationalism nor yet a brand of isolationism. It is an acknowledgement of work to be done.

John Dewey, 1944

At the beginning of the twentieth century, a troubled state of mind had overtaken many leaders of American higher education. This uneasiness was not about financial resources or student enrollment. Both were increasing, and higher education on the whole was prosperous and looking toward further expansion. Rather, what disturbed educators was an uncertainty about educational fundamentals and their lack of an assured sense of direction. Most especially, they missed the organizing power of a shared and firmly held conception of liberal education. The president of Cornell, Jacob Schurman, wrote forthrightly about this difficulty in his annual report for 1906-7: "The college is without clear-cut notions of what a liberal education is and how it is to be secured, . . . and the pity of it is that this is not a local or special disability, but a paralysis affecting every college of arts in America." 1

Increasingly, observers attributed this disabling condition to a growing ambivalence about curricular reforms that, by 1900, had been adopted almost everywhere in American higher education.²